



കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

KERALA GAZETTE

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചതുന്ത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3
Vol. III

തിരുവന്തപുരം,
ചൊവ്വ
Thiruvananthapuram,
Tuesday

2014 ഏപ്രിൽ 29
29th April 2014
1189 മേടം 16
16th Medam 1189
1936 വൈശാഖം 9
9th Vaisakha 1936

നമ്പർ
No. } 17

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 325/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Vikari, St. Marys Orthodox Syrian Church, Pazhanji, Kunnamkulam, Thrissur-680 512 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Prema Johnson, Thannikkal Veedu, Pathanagadi Desom, Mangad, Thalappilli Taluk, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Prema Johnson by the management of St. Marys Orthodox Syrian Church, Pazhanji, Kunnamkulam is justifiable ? If not, what relief she is entitled to get ?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 328/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Shankaranarayanan, President & C. E. O., Asianet Satellite Communication Limited, Mananthavady, Wayanad, (2) Sri Pramod, E., Regional Personnel Officer, Asianet Satellite Communication Limited, Govindapuram P. O., Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jacob, S., Sowparnika, Choottakadavu Road, Mananthavady in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment and salary with allowances to Sri Jacob, S. by the management of Asianet Satellite Communication Limited, Mananthavady is justifiable ? If not what are the benefits for which the workman is entitled to ?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 331/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Father Jose, Director, Youth Social Service Organization, Sulthan Bathery P. O., and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Shaharban w/o. Mohammed Bava, Alakkal Veedu, Choormalmala P.O., Meppadi in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of work and other emoluments to Smt. Shaharban, Social Worker under 'NABARD' by the Director, YSSO, Sulthan Bathery is justifiable ? If not, what are the relief for which the workman is entitled to ?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 332/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Manager, CAMPCO Limited, Neerchal Branch, Neerchal P. O., Kasaragod Taluk, Kasaragod, (2) The Managing Director, Central Arakkanut and Cocco Marketing and Processing Co-operative Limited, P. B. No. 223, Varanasi Tower, Mission Street, Mangalore, Karnataka-575 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri U. Ramakrishna s/o Puttana Shetty, Ullodi House, Ullodi P. O., Kasaragod Taluk, Kasaragod-671 321 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri U. Ramakrishna, by the Management of CAMPCO Limited, Neerchal Branch, Neerchal P. O., Kasaragod is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 335/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri Anil P. Bose (Convenor), Paliyathu Veedu, Koleri P. O., (2) Sri N. K. Shaji (Chairman), Naduparambil, Sulthan Bathery P. O., Manikkuni and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Mohanan, M. P. s/o Purushothaman, Meppurathu Veedu, Karani P. O., Meenangadi, Wayanad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Mohanan, M. P., Clerk by the management of S. N. D. P. Union Office, Sulthan Bathery is justifiable ? If not, what are the benefits for which the workman is entitled to ?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 336/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Muhammad Hasseem, Skylark Opticals, Near Cheruvathur Bus Stand, Cheruvathur P. O., Kasaragod District and the workman of the above referred establishment Kum. K. Santha d/o M. Pokkan, Kilikulam Veedu, Pothavoor P. O., Kasaragod in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Kumari K. Santha by the employer (Skylark Opticals, Cheruvathur) is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 337/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Partner, Lakshmi Lodge, East Nada, Guruvayur, Thrissur-680 101 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Lodge and Hotel Mazdoor Sangam (BMS), Thiruvambady, Thrissur-680 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether dismissal of Sri P. V. Santhosh by the management of Lakshmi Lodge, Guruvayur is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.

ERRATUM

G. O. (Rt.) No. 338/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th March 2014.

Read:—(1) Letter No. ID/2/2012 dated 11-10-2013 from the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode
(2) Letter No. I (1) 21522/2013 dated 6-2-2014 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.
(3) G. O. (Rt.) No. 1681/2012/LBR dated 19-10-2012.

In the circumstances reported in the letters read as 1st and 2nd above, the Government hereby withdraw the Industrial Dispute mentioned in the G. O. read as 3rd above from the Industrial Tribunal, Kozhikode and transfer it to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad for adjudication under Section 33-B of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947.

By order of the Governor,

HAREENDRAN NAIR, B.,
Deputy Secretary to Government.